



ICLG

The International Comparative Legal Guide to: **Lending & Secured Finance 2018**

6th Edition

A practical cross-border insight into lending and secured finance

Published by Global Legal Group, with contributions from:

Advokatfirmaet CLP DA
Ali Budiardjo, Nugroho, Reksodiputro
Allen & Overy LLP
Anderson Mori & Tomotsune
Asia Pacific Loan Market Association (APLMA)
BPSS Attorneys at Law
Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP
Carey Olsen
Carey
Cordero & Cordero Abogados
Criales & Urcullo
Cuatrecasas
Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP
Debevoise & Plimpton LLP
Dechert LLP
Dillon Eustace
Drew & Napier LLC
E & G Economides LLC
Fellner Wratzfeld & Partners
Ferraiuoli LLC
Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP
Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP
Gabinete Legal Angola Advogados
Gonzalez Calvillo, S.C.

Holland & Knight LLP
HSA Advocates
HSBC
IKT Law Firm
Jadek & Pensa
JPM Jankovic Popovic Mitic
Kabrajji & Talibuddin
King & Wood Mallesons
Laga
Latham & Watkins LLP
Lee and Li, Attorneys-at-Law
Lloreda Camacho & Co.
Loan Market Association
Loan Syndications and Trading Association
Macesic & Partners LLC
Maples and Calder
Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal
McMillan LLP
Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP
Montel&Manciet Advocats
Moore & Van Allen PLLC
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
Morrison & Foerster LLP
Nielsen Nørager Law Firm LLP

Nixon Peabody LLP
Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
Pestalozzi Attorneys at Law Ltd
Pinheiro Neto Advogados
PLMJ
Proskauer Rose LLP
Rodner, Martínez & Asociados
Sardelas Liarikos Petsa Law Firm
Shearman & Sterling LLP
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP
Škubla & Partneri s.r.o.
SZA Schilling, Zutt & Anschutz
Rechtsanwalts-gesellschaft mbH
Trofin & Asociații
TTA – Sociedade de Advogados
Unicase Law Firm
Wakefield Quin Limited
White & Case LLP
Wildgen
Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP





Contributing Editor
Thomas Mellor, Morgan,
Lewis & Bockius LLP

Sales Director
Florjan Osmani

Account Director
Oliver Smith

Sales Support Manager
Toni Hayward

Senior Editors
Caroline Collingwood,
Suzie Levy

Chief Operating Officer
Dror Levy

Group Consulting Editor
Alan Falach

Publisher
Rory Smith

Published by
Global Legal Group Ltd.
59 Tanner Street
London SE1 3PL, UK
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720
Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk
URL: www.glgroup.co.uk

GLG Cover Design
F&F Studio Design

GLG Cover Image Source
iStockphoto

Printed by
Stephens & George
Print Group
April 2018

Copyright © 2018
Global Legal Group Ltd.
All rights reserved
No photocopying

ISBN 978-1-912509-02-7
ISSN 2050-9847

Strategic Partners



Editorial Chapters:

1	Loan Syndications and Trading: An Overview of the Syndicated Loan Market – Bridget Marsh & Theodore Basta, Loan Syndications and Trading Association	1
2	Loan Market Association – An Overview – Nigel Houghton, Loan Market Association	6
3	Asia Pacific Loan Market Association – An Overview – Katy Chan, Asia Pacific Loan Market Association (APLMA)	11

General Chapters:

4	An Introduction to Legal Risk and Structuring Cross-Border Lending Transactions – Thomas Mellor & Marcus Marsh, Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	15
5	Global Trends in the Leveraged Loan Market in 2017 – Joshua W. Thompson & Caroline Leeds Ruby, Shearman & Sterling LLP	20
6	Avoiding Traps When Documenting Make-Whole Premiums for Term Loans – Meyer C. Dworkin & Samantha Hait, Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP	26
7	Commercial Lending in a Changing Regulatory Environment: 2018 and Beyond – Bill Satchell & Sara Lenet, Allen & Overy LLP	31
8	Acquisition Financing in the United States: 2018... Continued Growth – Geoffrey Peck & Mark Wojciechowski, Morrison & Foerster LLP	38
9	A Comparative Overview of Transatlantic Intercreditor Agreements – Lauren Hanrahan & Suhrod Mehta, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP	43
10	A Comparison of Key Provisions in U.S. and European Leveraged Loan Agreements – Sarah M. Ward & Mark L. Darley, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	50
11	The Global Subscription Credit Facility and Fund Finance Markets – Key Trends and Forecasts – Michael C. Mascia & Wesley A. Misson, Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP	61
12	Recent Developments in U.S. Term Loan B – Denise Ryan & David Almroth, Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP	64
13	The Growth of European Covenant Lite – James Chesterman & Jane Summers, Latham & Watkins LLP	70
14	Yankee Loans and Cross-Border Loans – Recent Developments – Alan Rockwell & Judah Frogel, Allen & Overy LLP	73
15	Debt Retirement in Leveraged Financings – David A. Brittenham & Scott B. Selinger, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP	82
16	Analysis and Update on the Continuing Evolution of Terms in Private Credit Transactions – Sandra Lee Montgomery & Benjamin E. Rubin, Proskauer Rose LLP	88
17	Know Your Client: Adopting a Holistic Approach to Law Firm Representation – Kelli Keenan & Shafiq Perry, HSBC	95
18	Law of Astana International Financial Centre: Key Considerations – Colby Jenkins, Moore & Van Allen PLLC & Saniya Perzadayeva, Unicase Law Firm	99
19	Trade Finance on the Blockchain: 2018 Update – Josias Dewey, Holland & Knight LLP	102
20	Trends in the Expanding Global Private Credit Market: What to Expect for 2018 and Beyond – Jeff Norton & Scott Zimmerman, Dechert LLP	108
21	Replacing LIBOR: the Countdown to 2022 – Alexandra Margolis & Richard Langan, Nixon Peabody LLP	112
22	Investment Grade Acquisition Financing Commitments – Julian S.H. Chung & Stewart A. Kagan, Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP	119
23	Acquisition Finance in Latin America: Navigating Diverse Legal Complexities in the Region – Sabrena Silver & Carlos Viana, White & Case LLP	124
24	The Mid-Market and Beyond – Mark Fine & Sebastian FitzGerald, Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP	130

Continued Overleaf →

Further copies of this book and others in the series can be ordered from the publisher. Please call +44 20 7367 0720

Disclaimer

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice. Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication. This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations.

Country Question and Answer Chapters:

25	Andorra	Montel&Manciet Advocats: Maïtena Manciet Fouchier & Liliana Ranaldi González	134
26	Angola	Gabinete Legal Angola Advogados / PLMJ: Bruno Xavier de Pina & João Bravo da Costa	140
27	Argentina	Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal: Juan M. Diehl Moreno & Diego A. Chighizola	147
28	Australia	King & Wood Mallesons: Yuen-Yee Cho & Elizabeth Hundt Russell	156
29	Austria	Fellner Wratzfeld & Partners: Markus Fellner & Florian Kranebitter	165
30	Belgium	Laga: Werner Van Lembergen & Laurent Godts	175
31	Bermuda	Wakefield Quin Limited: Erik L. Gotfredsen & Jemima Fearnside	181
32	Bolivia	Criales & Urcullo: Andrea Mariah Urcullo Pereira & Daniel Mariaca Alvarez	189
33	Brazil	Pinheiro Neto Advogados: Ricardo Simões Russo & Leonardo Baptista Rodrigues Cruz	196
34	British Virgin Islands	Maples and Calder: Michael Gagie & Matthew Gilbert	205
35	Canada	McMillan LLP: Jeff Rogers & Don Waters	212
36	Cayman Islands	Maples and Calder: Tina Meigh	222
37	Chile	Carey: Diego Peralta	229
38	China	King & Wood Mallesons: Jack Wang & Stanley Zhou	236
39	Colombia	Lloreda Camacho & Co.: Santiago Gutiérrez & Juan Sebastián Peredo	243
40	Costa Rica	Cordero & Cordero Abogados: Hernán Cordero Maduro & Ricardo Cordero B.	250
41	Croatia	Macesic & Partners LLC: Ivana Manovelo & Anja Grbes	258
42	Cyprus	E & G Economides LLC: Marinella Kilikitas & George Economides	266
43	Denmark	Nielsen Nørager Law Firm LLP: Thomas Melchior Fischer & Brian Jørgensen	274
44	England	Allen & Overy LLP: David Campbell & Oleg Khomenko	281
45	Finland	White & Case LLP: Tanja Törnkvist & Krista Rekola	290
46	France	Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP: Emmanuel Ringeval & Cristina Radu	298
47	Germany	SZA Schilling, Zutt & Anschutz Rechtsanwalts-gesellschaft mbH: Dr. Dietrich F. R. Stiller & Dr. Andreas Herr	309
48	Greece	Sardelas Liarikos Petsa Law Firm: Panagiotis (Notis) Sardelas & Konstantina (Nantia) Kalogiannidi	318
49	Hong Kong	King & Wood Mallesons: Richard Mazzochi & David Lam	326
50	Hungary	BPSS Attorneys at Law: Eszter Dávid & Gergely Stanka	333
51	India	HSA Advocates: Anjan Dasgupta & Harsh Arora	342
52	Indonesia	Ali Budiardjo, Nugroho, Reksodiputro: Theodoor Bakker & Ayik Candrawulan Gunadi	353
53	Ireland	Dillon Eustace: Conor Houlihan & Richard Lacken	361
54	Italy	Allen & Overy Studio Legale Associato: Stefano Sennhauser & Gian Luca Coggiola	370
55	Ivory Coast	IKT Law Firm: Annick Imboua-Niava & Osther Henri Tella	378
56	Japan	Anderson Mori & Tomotsune: Taro Awataguchi & Yuki Kohmaru	384
57	Jersey	Carey Olsen: Robin Smith & Laura McConnell	392
58	Luxembourg	Wildgen: Michel Bulach & Giuseppe Cafiero	402
59	Mexico	Gonzalez Calvillo, S.C.: José Ignacio Rivero Andere	410
60	Mozambique	TTA – Sociedade de Advogados / PLMJ: Nuno Morgado Pereira & Gonçalo dos Reis Martins	417
61	Norway	Advokatfirmaet CLP DA: Ragnhild Steigberg	425
62	Pakistan	Kabraji & Talibuddin: Maheen Faruqui & Zara Tariq	433
63	Portugal	PLMJ: Gonçalo dos Reis Martins	440
64	Puerto Rico	Ferraiuoli LLC: José Fernando Rovira-Rullán	447
65	Romania	Trofin & Asociații: Valentin Trofin & Mihaela Spiridon	454



Country Question and Answer Chapters:

66	Russia	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP: Grigory Marinichev & Alexey Chertov	464
67	Serbia	JPM Jankovic Popovic Mitic: Nenad Popovic & Janko Nikolic	472
68	Singapore	Drew & Napier LLC: Blossom Hing & Renu Menon	479
69	Slovakia	Škubla & Partneri s.r.o.: Marián Šulík & Zuzana Moravčíková Kolenová	489
70	Slovenia	Jadek & Pensa: Andraž Jadek & Žiga Urankar	496
71	South Africa	Allen & Overy LLP: Lionel Shawe & Lisa Botha	505
72	Spain	Cuatrecasas: Manuel Follía & María Lérica	515
73	Sweden	White & Case LLP: Carl Hugo Parment & Tobias Johansson	525
74	Switzerland	Pestalozzi Attorneys at Law Ltd: Oliver Widmer & Urs Klöti	532
75	Taiwan	Lee and Li, Attorneys-at-Law: Hsin-Lan Hsu & Cyun-Ren Jhou	541
76	United Arab Emirates	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP: Ayman A. Khaleq & Amanjit K. Fagura	550
77	USA	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP: Thomas Mellor & Rick Eisenbiegler	563
78	Venezuela	Rodner, Martínez & Asociados: Jaime Martínez Estévez	574

EDITORIAL

Welcome to the sixth edition of *The International Comparative Legal Guide to: Lending & Secured Finance*.

This guide provides corporate counsel and international practitioners with a comprehensive worldwide legal analysis of the laws and regulations of lending and secured finance.

It is divided into three main sections:

Three editorial chapters. These are overview chapters and have been contributed by the LSTA, the LMA and the APLMA.

Twenty one general chapters. These chapters are designed to provide readers with an overview of key issues affecting lending and secured finance, particularly from the perspective of a multi-jurisdictional transaction.

Country question and answer chapters. These provide a broad overview of common issues in lending and secured finance laws and regulations in 54 jurisdictions.

All chapters are written by leading lending and secured finance lawyers and industry specialists and we are extremely grateful for their excellent contributions.

Special thanks are reserved for the contributing editor Thomas Mellor of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP for his invaluable assistance.

Global Legal Group hopes that you find this guide practical and interesting.

The *International Comparative Legal Guide* series is also available online at www.iclg.com.

Alan Falach LL.M.
Group Consulting Editor
Global Legal Group
Alan.Falach@glgroup.co.uk

Acquisition Finance in Latin America: Navigating Diverse Legal Complexities in the Region

Sabrena Silver



Carlos Viana



White & Case LLP

The continued demand by private equity and sophisticated strategic investors for loans with “certain funds” or “SunGard” limited conditionality to finance their M&A activity in Latin America warrants exploring the impact of certain legal complexities in the region on such acquisition finance structures. M&A activity in Latin America appears poised for growth, accompanied by other local trends that suggest increased opportunities for international lenders financing M&A activity in the region, presenting opportunities for investors and lenders. For any given acquisition finance transaction in Latin America, the parties will need to consider country-specific concerns, including guaranty limitations and security steps and timing, applicable withholding tax regimes and exchange control regulations, to determine the optimal structure and lender syndicate composition for such transaction.¹

M&A in Latin America

Latin American M&A in 2017 included 583 announced deals for a total of US\$80.1 billion of value, which was an increase in value of 3.6%, although two fewer deals, in comparison to 2016, with many of the deals continuing to be driven by energy, mining and utilities.² These deals also included an uptick of announced deals in the agricultural sector, including 18 deals valued at US\$2 billion (an increase of four deals and 160.1% in value over 2016) and the leisure sector, including 26 announced deals valued at US\$985 million (an increase of seven deals and US\$584 million over 2016).³ The Intralinks Deal Flow Predictor report predicts that the number of announced M&A deals in Latin America will increase in Q1 2018 by 3% year-on-year. And the International Monetary Fund is predicting that the GDP in Latin America will grow in 2018 by 1.9%, with country-specific variations (Argentina: 2.5%; Brazil: 1.5%; Chile: 2.5%; and Mexico 1.9%) and 3.5% growth projected in Colombia over the medium-term.⁴

Opportunities for Increased International Lender Activity in Latin America

Additional country-specific factors also suggest that in the near- and medium-term there will be increased M&A activity and increased opportunities for international lenders in financing M&A activity in Latin America.

- In Argentina, the federal government’s launch of a huge infrastructure plan coupled with the lift of the legal and *de facto* foreign exchange restrictions applicable to cross-border financings seem poised to increase M&A in the country and the opportunities for off-shore lenders to finance that activity.
- In Brazil, although financing for acquisitions has traditionally been provided by local banks and local debentures with much support also being provided at below market levels by the National Development Bank (“BNDES”), BNDES’ recent retreat from activity may create additional opportunities for off-shore banks. In this regard, BNDES has been gradually changing its credit portfolio over the past few years from a concentrated portfolio formed by large corporations (known as “Super Nationals”) to a more diverse base, including venture capital and seed funds focused on start-ups and small-sized companies. In the near future, also, BNDESPar, the equity investment vehicle of BNDES, will play an important role in M&A activity in Brazil, either by divesting major equity stakes in large corporations or by investing in such small-sized companies mentioned above.⁵ Brazilian corporates with US-dollar revenues, including, in particular, exporters of commodities, are the most likely borrowers of US-dollar off-shore debt, given that currency hedging costs may be prohibitive, but market participants continue to explore structures to minimise currency risk for Brazilian borrowers without significant US-dollar revenues.
- In Chile, the election of centre-right President Sebastián Piñera in December 2017 suggests even further increased opportunity for additional M&A activity in Chile, which closed 2017 with a record of 109 transactions.⁶
- In Colombia, the presidential elections in 2018 may lead to some slowdown in M&A activity during the year, but activity should remain in some sectors. Indeed, given the huge wave of road concessions together with a high sponsor concentration, it is expected that international investors will purchase stakes in these projects, which may require acquisition financing, depending on the stage of development of the relevant project. Additionally, multi-latinas continue to expand from and into Colombia and into the countries of the Pacific Alliance (Chile, Perú and México), which acquisitions typically rely on financings from both the international and the local banking markets.
- In Mexico, although political uncertainty, currency volatility and instability in the country’s relationship with the US may signal general uncertainty for dealmaking within Mexico, Mexican companies have begun pursuing overseas transactions to secure investment in a more favourable climate, which will present opportunities. Investors will also continue to closely watch how President Donald Trump’s policies toward Mexico unfold over the coming months, particularly his stance on the 23-year-old NAFTA agreement.
- In Peru, financing for acquisitions by foreign investors has traditionally been provided by off-shore lenders or affiliated companies. Local investors traditionally have preferred loans from local banks, including bridge loan to bond take-out structures. Recently, local investors with Peruvian

bank relationships have access to medium- and long-term acquisition finance structures through local banks and local investment funds, including mezzanine funds, are providing some acquisition financing to local investors. There have been discussions in political circles recently about eliminating the 18% VAT applicable to interest payments to foreign lenders that are not financial institutions, which would facilitate loans by such off-shore lenders to Peruvian investors, but the legislative change has not yet passed.

Latin American Acquisition Finance Transactions

Pure leveraged, limited-recourse acquisition loan finance transactions occur in Latin America less frequently than in the US or Europe. This is partly because the volumes of M&A activity in the region (US \$100 billion in 2017) are still a fraction of US M&A activity (US \$1.5 trillion in 2017) and European M&A activity (US \$850 billion in 2017).⁷ Country and currency risks specific to the region have also added to lenders' perceived risks of such limited-recourse loans. In addition, non-financial institution lenders, which are traditionally the lenders interested in providing term loan Bs, a preferred source of debt financing by private equity funds, have been relatively inactive in the region due to unfavourable local withholding taxes, which are often applicable in the region to off-shore lenders that are not financial institutions. And local banks have often been able to provide competitive pricing in this environment.

In our experience, international lenders have been more active in providing acquisition finance bridge loans in Latin America, which often take the form of bridge-bond take-out structures, and are frequently tied to M&A advisory mandates or other larger client relationships. Also, we have seen that Asian and European banks that are active in the medium- and long-term project finance markets have been active in leveraged acquisition financing – with project-finance-style debt-sizing parameters – of single-asset or portfolio power or infrastructure deals supported by US-dollar linked, long-term commercial contracts. Often, and in line with the business drivers of the international banks, we have seen that acquisition finance in the region has tended to be backed in whole or in part by corporate balance sheets, with customised, non-all-assets collateral packages when leverage exceeds 3.5 to 4.5 times EBITDA.

As private equity funds and other buyers seek loan financing for their targets in Latin America, cross-border acquisition loans will remain for the foreseeable future an important, if not critical, part of the capital toolbox. A buyer will generally require certainty of loan funds before committing to a purchase agreement, whether the acquisition loan financing takes the form of a bridge loan or longer-term financing, whether the target is a corporate or project, and whether the buyer is a strategic corporate or a private equity fund. The increasing volumes of M&A activity in the region, including in the energy, natural resources and infrastructure sectors in particular, would appear to be a promising source of highly bankable senior acquisition loans, with or without capital market take-outs.

Loans vs. Bonds

Loans tend to take centre stage in acquisition finance transactions because a loan commitment provides the needed certainty that debt funds will be available at closing. Typically, a purchase agreement for an acquisition will not include a “financing-out”, *i.e.*, a right to terminate the purchase agreement if the buyer cannot finance the transaction, and before signing the purchase agreement the buyer will need certainty that the required debt will be available at closing.

Although an acquisition finance transaction may take the form of loans or bonds, it can be a challenge for a buyer to rely on a planned bond issuance to close an acquisition, given the notorious volatility of the capital markets. Buyers tend to rely on loan commitments from banks and other lenders to finance acquisitions, either in the form of term loans or bridge loans, which may be refinanced post-closing with a capital markets bond issuance.

Loan Commitment Documentation in the UK, Europe and the US

Conditionality

Because a purchase agreement for an acquisition will rarely contain a “financing-out”, a buyer will want to ensure that its lenders have provided a loan commitment with limited conditionality before signing the acquisition agreement. In recent years, the conditionality of lenders' loan commitments in the acquisition finance context generally follows the “certain funds” standard in the UK and the European markets and the “SunGard” standard in the US. Under “certain funds” conditionality, the lenders' commitment to fund on the closing debt are subject only to: (i) the making of certain key representations; (ii) the absence of major events of default (including insolvency proceedings or payment defaults of the acquisition vehicle); (iii) the absence of illegality; (iv) the absence of a change of control; and (v) security being granted over certain assets of the acquisition vehicle, including the shares of the target being acquired. In contrast, the “SunGard” standard of conditionality limits the conditions such that: (a) the only representations that must be true and correct as a condition to funding are the specified loan agreement representations (limited largely to representations relating to corporate existence, power and authority, margin regulations, solvency and anti-terrorism and corruption laws) and the specified acquisition agreement representations (limited to representations and warranties in the purchase agreement relating to the target that, if untrue, would be material to the lenders and with respect to which the buyer can terminate its obligation to the close the acquisition); (b) the collateral in which a security interest must be granted and perfected at closing includes only collateral that may be perfected by the filing of a UCC-1 financing statement or the delivery of possessory collateral such as share certificates; and (c) the only material adverse change or material adverse event (“MAC”) that is a condition to funding is the MAC⁸ that applies in the purchase agreement – to eliminate any potential daylight between the loan commitment and the purchase obligation.⁹

Security Principles

Lenders in the UK, the European markets and the US markets also include in the commitment documentation an agreed description of the guaranty and security principles that will apply to complete the credit support package after closing. In the UK and the European markets, the guaranty and collateral package will vary considerably depending on the applicable jurisdictions involved, given wide variance in applicable guaranty limitations and security interest legal regimes in the region. In the US, the parties often agree to limit the collateral and guaranty package, such that no security interest is required to be provided in real property valued below a certain threshold, leased real property, motor vehicles, margin stock, interests in joint ventures (and possibly non-wholly owned subsidiaries) and other immaterial assets.

Commitment Documents in Latin America

The Latin American loan market generally follows the US loan market approach to loan documentation, rather than the UK or European approach, including with respect to commitment documentation.

Closing Date Collateral and Security Principles

Similarly, commitment documents with respect to acquisition finance transactions involving Latin American targets tend to follow the US “SunGard” standard of conditionality and US guaranty and security principles framework in the acquisition context. There are several challenges in using the “SunGard” standard of conditionality in Latin America, however, that require the description of closing date collateral in the conditions to closing and the security principles to be revisited.

In general, most Latin American jurisdictions do not have a construct to permit all asset security under a single document or to permit perfection by a single filing in a central filing system of varied security interests. Ordinarily, each category of collateral will require a separate security document and separate perfection steps. Notarisation and registration requirements (which require a registry to register collateral a number of days or weeks after filing, in particular for real estate) and fees may further complicate the process and make the taking of security more expensive and protracted, or outright prohibitive from a commercial standpoint.

If the acquisition target is located in Latin America, it will be important to understand, in each relevant jurisdiction (including each specific country and, sometimes, each applicable state within such country), what the target’s valuable assets are given the nature of its business, the steps and timing (and related fees required) to create and perfect a security interest in each applicable category of such assets, and whether there are financial assistance (restrictions on the ability of a company to provide a guaranty in support of, or collateral to secure, indebtedness incurred to finance the purchase of that company) or other limitations on the ability of companies organised in that country to provide guaranties or credit support in the acquisition finance context. Care must be taken to formulate a closing date collateral package that will both ensure that the lenders have a security interest in the important assets of the target and ensure that perfection can be achieved on the closing date without execution risk and to frame the security principles and ongoing collateral package to protect the lenders’ interest while managing transaction timing and expense. In contrast to the US market, there is no “standard” guaranty and collateral package for acquisition loans in Latin America. Such packages tend to vary from country to country and from industry to industry within each country depending on the requirements to create and perfect security interest in the assets key for that industry.

We have endeavoured here to provide an overview of considerations in several of the jurisdictions in which M&A activity and acquisition finance transactions have been active.

In Argentina, so long as a guaranty provides arm’s-length benefit to the Argentine guarantor and the required corporate formalities are complied with, the guaranty will be enforceable, subject to potential avoidance, depending on the circumstances, if the guarantor enters into insolvency proceedings within two years of the guarantee being granted in the case of new debt. Also, the Argentine courts have held that some transactions in which a company has provided financial assistance to, or a guarantee for, the acquisition of its shares

have violated the Argentine Commercial Companies Law (“ACC”), by violating the administrator’s duties of loyalty and care and the restriction on companies giving financial assistance or providing guarantee in connection with the acquisition of their own shares. It is not possible under Argentine law for a company to grant a blanket security interest in all of its personal property assets and security will need to be provided under separate documentation for each category of collateral. Also, it is a challenge to obtain a perfected security interest in a bank account, which may require the construction of a trust and additional time and expense. Notary fees, stamp taxes and registration fees can be material in connection with secured transactions and will vary depending on the type of assets pledged and the location of the pledgor and its assets. Registration of some security interests may take between one and several months. Also, the concept of collateral agency is not recognised under Argentine law, so each of the lenders will need to directly be a party to the local security documents and intercreditor arrangements affecting local collateral. A bill is currently being discussed in the Argentine congress that, if adopted, would recognise the concept of collateral agency.

In Brazil, there is no requirement that a Brazilian guarantor receive corporate benefit provided that the required corporate formalities are complied with and, provided further, that a guarantee without sufficient corporate benefit may be avoided in an insolvency proceeding of the guarantor within two years of the guarantee being granted. It is not possible for a company to grant a blanket security interest in all of its personal property assets and security will need to be provided under separate documentation for each category of collateral. Fiduciary liens are the preferable security type for foreign creditors given the protection it brings in insolvency scenarios; although there has been a debate over the legality of fiduciary liens to the benefit of foreign creditors, in particular in connection with fiduciary liens on real estate due to certain restrictions on the ownership of real estate by foreign entities or individuals. Notary fees and registration fees can be material for the taking of security over real property and personal property pledges and will vary by the region where the registry is located. Registration of security can take up to one month, depending on the type of security interest being registered and the location of the registry. If the borrower has outstanding indebtedness with BNDES (subject to certain exceptions), the borrower will need a waiver from BNDES for additional indebtedness, which may take some time. Notably, recent changes to Brazilian law have enhanced the attractiveness of the Brazilian legal regime to international lenders. First, foreclosing on liens over shares of publicly traded companies and other financial assets (e.g., time deposits) has become quicker. Also, Brazil has recently adopted the so-called “Apostille Convention”, which should facilitate and expedite the recognition in Brazil of documents executed abroad, avoiding the need for the expensive and time-consuming “consularisation” procedure.

In Chile, so long as the guaranty provides some benefit to the Chilean guarantor and the required corporate formalities are complied with, the guaranty will be enforceable, subject to potential avoidance, depending on the circumstances, if the guarantor enters into insolvency proceedings within two years of the guarantee being granted in the case of new debt. Security should be created under separate documentation for different types of assets (under different categories of pledge depending on who will have possession of the pledged asset and the type of asset). Notary fees and registration fees may apply for the taking of security over real property and personal property pledges, and registration fees will depend on the applicable registry. There are also significant limitations on the effectiveness of security interests over bank accounts, which, in practice, render such security unavailable, and Chilean law does not provide for the existence of collateral trusts.

In Colombia, per a recent doctrine of the Superintendence of Corporations, a parent company may guarantee the obligations of its subsidiaries, even if the corporate purpose of the guarantor does not include such power. This doctrine should be applicable even when the target is the guaranteed company, provided that the entirety of the financing is destined to pay its purchase price. In all other cases (i.e. when a subsidiary is guaranteeing the obligations of its parent company or a sister company) so long as the Colombian guarantor's corporate purpose provides such company the power to guaranty the applicable obligations, the guaranty will be enforceable as a general principle (subject to certain exceptions including, for example, if the guarantor is a simplified stock corporation (*sociedad por acciones simplificada*), or if there is a declared entrepreneurial group between the guarantor and the guaranteed entity). There is generally no prohibition on a Colombian company providing financial assistance to support the acquisition of all of its own shares, except in the case of certain specially regulated companies such as banks, insurance companies and other finance companies. However, if the target is to guarantee a partial acquisition of its own shares, minority shareholder protection rules could apply and grant minority shareholders the right to challenge the guarantee provided by the target. Security should be granted under separate documentation for different types of assets. Alternatively, a *prenda sobre establecimiento de comercio* is available in some cases to cover groups of assets, as are security trust structures. There will be notarial fees and public registry costs depending on the type of security at issue.

In Mexico, so long as the required corporate formalities are complied with, a guaranty will be enforceable, regardless of the value provided to the guarantor, subject in any insolvency to potential clawback within 270 days of the filing for the insolvency proceedings. In Mexico, a non-possessory pledge on assets and rights may generally cover all assets, except real estate, which may need a separate document and filing. As an alternative, a Mexican collateral trust structure could be used to create a security trust structure covering a substantial number of assets, but the trustee costs are significant and administration of the collateral in the trust could become onerous for the borrower. Notary fees and registration fees may apply for the taking of security over real property and personal property pledges, and registration fees will depend on the applicable registry.

In Peru, so long as the required corporate requirements and formalities are complied with, a guaranty will be enforceable, regardless of the value provided to the guarantor, subject to potential actions against the officers and board members of the company under certain circumstances, including if the guarantees create a serious risk to the credits held by other creditors. Peru also restricts the ability of a company to provide financial assistance to a party to acquire its shares, although there may be structuring alternatives to reduce the impact of these Peruvian restrictions. Peru, in contrast to many other Latin American jurisdictions, does permit a blanket security interest under a *Hipoteca sobre Unidad de Producción*, under the applicable rules provided by Peruvian Civil Code, which covers a whole production unit including different types of assets (equipment, machinery, real estate, inventory and spare parts). As an alternative, lenders and holders of debt instruments may rely on the Peruvian guarantee trust structure (*Fideicomiso en Garantía*), governed by the banking law (*Ley del Sistema Financiero y del Sistema de Seguros y Orgánica de la Superintendencia de Banca y Seguros*) and the regulations issued by the banking regulator (the Superintendencia de Banca, Seguros y AFP), as well as on the securitisation trust (*Fideicomiso de Titulización*), regulated by the capital markets law (*Ley del Mercado de Valores*) and the regulations issued by the securities market regulator (*Superintendencia del Mercado de Valores*). The *Fideicomiso en Garantía* could be used to create a security trust structure covering a substantial number

of assets, including future cash flows, with expedited enforcement proceedings and other benefits. The *Fideicomiso de Titulización* is a trust structure that also may cover different types of assets, including the future cash flows, aimed to guarantee the offering and issuance of debt instruments in the local and/or the international markets. There have been issuances of securitisation notes (*Bonos de Titulización*) that have been structured to finance future acquisitions by local investors, who act as originators of the respective *Fideicomiso de Titulización*. Notarial fees will be required to formalise the security agreements as public deeds and the applicable fees will depend on the notary. There are also public registry costs.

Other Latin American Structuring Considerations

In addition to the issues of the closing date and the ongoing credit support package, which are generally addressed in the commitment letters for an acquisition finance loan in the UK and the European and the US markets differently and need to be addressed in the Latin American market on a bespoke basis, there are also distinct issues in Latin American jurisdictions that may impact acquisition finance transactions and which are not typically addressed in the UK, the European or the US commitment letters.

Withholding Tax and other Tax Considerations

The parties will need to consider, in particular, applicable withholding tax obligations and the agreements with respect to tax gross up by the borrower. In many Latin American jurisdictions, a significant withholding tax will apply to interest payments from Latin American corporate borrowers to off-shore lenders, particularly lenders that are not regulated financial institutions or lenders organised in certain locally designated "tax haven" jurisdictions.

For example, in Argentina, if a foreign Lender is a bank or financial institution under the supervision of the relevant central bank or equivalent authority of its jurisdiction and located in a "cooperative jurisdiction" or a jurisdiction that is party to an exchange of information treaty with Argentina, there will be an effective withholding tax rate of 15.05%; otherwise, the effective withholding tax rate is 35%. Also, double taxation treaties may set forth ceilings to the applicable rates. The borrower may also need to pay VAT at the rate of 21% or 10.5% depending on whether the lender is a financial institution and other factors. And there may also be applicable stamp taxes in connection with the execution of the loan agreement, promissory notes and other loan documents depending on where the applicable agreement is signed are signed and/or causes effects, and the applicable industry.

In Brazil, payments of interest to non-residents are generally subject to a 15% withholding tax, which may be reduced in the case of an applicable double taxation treaty in effect between Brazil and the country in which the foreign investor is located or increased to 25% in the case of an investor located in a tax haven jurisdiction, according to a list issued by the Brazilian tax authorities.

In Chile, interest payments by a Chilean borrower to an off-shore lender will be subject to a 35% withholding tax; provided that a reduced 4% withholding tax rate will apply to interest paid to foreign banks or financial institutions, and there may be a reduced rate also if there is an applicable double taxation treaty. In addition, there may be applicable stamp taxes proportional to the principal of the loan or bonds in connection with the execution of the loan agreement or a bond issuance. In Colombia, interest payments to

off-shore lenders will be subject to a withholding tax of 14% if the loan from off-shore lenders has a term equal to, or longer than, one year, or 33% if the term is less than one year, subject in each case to the potential application of double taxation treaties as applicable depending on whether the lender is a financial institution.

In Colombia, interest payments to off-shore lenders are generally subject to a 15% withholding tax rate, subject to a number of exceptions. For example, loans provided by lenders located in jurisdictions with which Colombia has a double taxation treaty generally benefit from a lower rate ranging from 0% to 5% depending on the country. However, if a lender is located in a tax haven jurisdiction, the applicable rate is increased to 35%.

In Mexico, interest payments to off-shore lenders are generally subject to a 4.9% withholding tax rate in the case of interest paid to certain financial institutions that are residents of a country that has entered into a tax treaty with Mexico, a 10% withholding tax rate in the case of interest paid to certain financial institutions that are not residents of a tax treaty partner of Mexico, a 15% withholding tax rate in the case of interest paid to reinsurance companies or interest derived from financial leases, a 21% withholding tax rate in the case of interest paid to sellers of machinery in connection with a sale on credit, a 35% withholding tax rate in the case of interest paid to other lenders and a 40% withholding tax rate in the case of interest paid to a related party located in a tax haven.

In Peru, interest paid to off-shore lenders will be generally subject to a 4.99% withholding tax (*Impuesto a la Renta*), provided that certain formalities are complied with regarding funding and the corporate purpose of the loan. However, if the off-shore lender is a related party of the borrower or the issuer of the applicable debt instrument or if the lender or the investor on the applicable debt instrument is an individual domiciled in a tax haven, the withholding tax rate is 30%. Interest on debt instruments issued by local issuers acquired by foreign companies, investment funds, trusts and other entities domiciled in a tax haven, or on loans provided by such entities, are subject to the 4.99% withholding tax rate, provided that the respective investor or lender, as the case may be, is not a related party to the local borrower or issuer. Early prepayment premiums may also be subject to such withholding tax.

In Peru, in addition to withholding taxes, VAT (*Impuesto General a las Ventas*) may also apply. Interest on loans granted by foreign banks and other regulated financial entities will not be subject to the Peruvian 18% VAT. If the loans are provided by an off-shore entity that is not a regulated financial entity, including a corporation, the applicable interest payments will be subject to such VAT. In the case of notes and other debt instruments that constitute *valores mobiliarios*, which requires that the issuance include 10 or more instruments, issued by public or private offering by local issuers, the interest paid to foreign investors, including those domiciled in tax havens, will not be subject to the VAT. In addition, the VAT will not be applicable to interest payable under local instruments that constitute *títulos valores*, which require that the public offering and placement be through the Lima Stock Exchange.

Foreign Exchange Controls

Similarly, foreign exchange controls may require specific structuring to comply with local requirements. Foreign exchange controls are various forms of controls imposed by a government on the purchase or sale of foreign currencies by residents or on the purchase or sale of local currency by non-residents.

In Argentina, the foreign exchange controls applicable to cross-border financings have recently been lifted, allowing disbursements to be made and kept abroad, and conversion from Argentine Pesos

to US Dollars to repay or prepay financings before or after collateral enforcement.

In Brazil, remittances from Brazil to off-shore lenders will need to be registered in the Brazilian Central Bank's system. Further authorisation by the Central Bank may be required for the conversion of such Brazilian currency-denominated amount into foreign currency and its remittance abroad upon the occurrence of certain macroeconomic events (*i.e.*, disequilibrium in the balance of payments), but the Brazilian Central Bank has shown less strict foreign exchange enforcement over time, and there is no known precedent in which Central Bank authorisation has been required in the past decades. Although the repayment of a loan by a Brazilian company is not subject to the financial transactions tax, such tax may become payable on the closing of a foreign exchange transaction for the inflow of funds into Brazil on the granting of the loan, depending on the amortisation term of the principal; under current law such tax is payable at a 6% rate if the term of the loan is fewer than 180 days, and 0% if the term of the loan is equal to or greater than 180 days.

Chile has no applicable currency control issues, and the exchange rate under which Chilean pesos would be converted to US dollars, or *vice versa*, may be freely agreed upon between the parties, provided that, in extreme cases, the Central Bank may intervene to regulate such conversion rates.

In Colombia, the foreign exchange regulations require foreign lenders to have a Central Bank code and require notifying the Central Bank of disbursements on a loan with non-Colombian lenders and of remittance of funds abroad to repay a loan with non-Colombian lenders. Repayment of loans to foreign lenders also must be made through specified foreign exchange intermediaries or compensation accounts, which are off-shore bank accounts that are registered with the Central Bank and subject to specified reporting obligations, and set-off by the lenders will not be permitted.

In Mexico and Peru, there are no applicable currency controls.

Conclusion

Given the tremendous opportunities for Latin American M&A activity in the coming years and the important role of loan facilities in financing acquisitions, understanding the local laws applicable to acquisition financing transactions in Latin America will be critically important to market participants going forward.

Endnotes

1. We would like to thank the following individuals for their contributions regarding the country-specific legal and market discussions included in this article: with respect to the discussion of Argentine legal and market matters, Juan M. Diehl Moreno, Partner, Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal; with respect to the discussion of Brazilian legal and market matters, Leonardo Baptista Rodrigues Cruz, Partner, Pinheiro Neto Advogados; and with additional input on Brazilian market matters from John Anderson, Partner, White & Case LLP; with respect to the discussion of Chilean legal and market matters, Diego Peralta, Partner, Carey y Cia. Ltda.; with respect to the discussion of Colombian legal and market matters, Juan Fernando Gaviria, Partner, Philippi Prietocarrizosa Ferrero DU & Uría - Bogotá; with respect to the discussion of Mexican legal and market matters, Raúl Fernández-Briseño, Partner, White & Case LLP; with respect to the discussion of Mexican tax matters, Guillermo Aguayo, Local Partner, White & Case LLP; and with respect to the discussion of Peruvian legal and

- market matters, Carlos Saco-Vertiz Tudela, Partner, Sacovertiz & Landerer Abogados.
2. Global and Regional M&A Report FY 2017 by *Mergermarket*, An Acuris company.
 3. *Id.*
 4. World Economic Outlook, October 2017, “Seeking Sustainable Growth: Short-Term Recovery, Long-Term Challenges”, dated October 10, 2017 by the International Monetary Fund.
 5. <http://economia.estadao.com.br/noticias/geral,apos-politica-das-campeas-nacionais-bndes-quer-impulsionar-as-pequenas,70002142089>.
 6. Landmark Alantra Group.
 7. “Fusiones y adquisiciones cierra 2017 con 109 transacciones: duplica las de 2014”, by Landmark Alantra Group, *Diario Financiero*, January 3, 2018.
 8. A MAC condition in a purchase agreement is typically much narrower than a typical MAC condition in a loan agreement and will specifically exclude any changes from market and economic conditions, for example. Agreeing to use the purchase agreement MAC limits the lenders’ ability to refuse to fund their commitments under conditions where they might otherwise not be obligated to fund under a typical loan agreement outside of the acquisition context.
 9. The UK and European certain funds requirement notably does not include the equivalent of the MAC condition, given that there is rarely a MAC condition to the obligation to close the purchase under a typical purchase agreement in those markets.



Sabrena Silver

White & Case LLP
1221 Avenue of the Americas
New York
New York 10020-1095
USA

Tel: +1 212 819 7056
Email: sabrena.silver@whitecase.com
URL: www.whitecase.com

Sabrena Silver is a partner in White & Case’s global Banking group. She has over 20 years of experience in completing US domestic and cross-border financing transactions. She advises financial institutions, private equity sponsors and companies on complex financing transactions, including acquisition finance, bridge loans, asset-based facilities, trade finance, margin loans, sovereign loans and structured finance transactions. Sabrena is particularly renowned for her extensive experience in Latin America, where she has led many high-profile finance deals across numerous jurisdictions, including Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Mexico and Peru. She was named one of Latin America’s top female lawyers by *Latinvex* (2014–2017), and is recognised as a Leading Individual for Banking and Finance in *Chambers Latin America* (2016–2018).



Carlos Viana

White & Case LLP
Southeast Financial Center
200 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 4900
Miami, Florida 33131-2352
USA

Tel: +1 305 995 5251
Email: cviana@whitecase.com
URL: www.whitecase.com

Carlos Viana focuses on acquisition finance, project finance, corporate finance and structured trade and export finance. He has advised lead lenders, or the credit parties, in hundreds of syndicated and other secured and unsecured credit facilities and capital markets offerings involving Latin American projects or corporates valued at over US\$65 billion. He has recently led a number of landmark acquisition finance and energy and infrastructure mandates, including among many others: the acquisition financing of Infun Group in Mexico; a multi-billion dollar oil and gas acquisition financing in Argentina; the financing of wireless providers and energy companies in Colombia; two acquisitions and project financings in Uruguay; the multi-billion dollar multi-sourced financing for the lines 3 and 6 of the Metro de Santiago and the project financing of a large transmission line project in Chile; acquisition, project and corporate loan facilities for borrowers in Peru, and the syndicated loan facilities for financial institutions and other major corporates across the region.

WHITE & CASE

White & Case’s Latin America practice has more than 100 lawyers focusing and practising in the region across offices in New York, Miami, Washington DC, Madrid, and on the ground in Mexico City and Sao Paulo. We have an extensive track record of representing lead arrangers/agents and credit parties in the most complex, high-value cross-border finance transactions in Latin America, as well as advising on high-profile cross-border M&A deals. Clients value our regulatory knowledge, practical know-how and significant transactional experience gained through our longstanding presence in the region: in the last 40 years, we have won over 100 ‘Deal of the Year’ awards in Latin America, and White & Case currently holds top tier rankings in *Chambers Latin America* for all main areas of business law, including Band 1 rankings for Banking & Finance and Projects. As a pioneering and truly international law firm, our cross-border experience and diverse team of local, US and English-qualified lawyers consistently deliver results for our clients in both established and emerging markets.

Current titles in the ICLG series include:

- Alternative Investment Funds
- Anti-Money Laundering
- Aviation Law
- Business Crime
- Cartels & Leniency
- Class & Group Actions
- Competition Litigation
- Construction & Engineering Law
- Copyright
- Corporate Governance
- Corporate Immigration
- Corporate Investigations
- Corporate Recovery & Insolvency
- Corporate Tax
- Cybersecurity
- Data Protection
- Employment & Labour Law
- Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
- Environment & Climate Change Law
- Family Law
- Fintech
- Franchise
- Gambling
- Insurance & Reinsurance
- International Arbitration
- Lending & Secured Finance
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- Merger Control
- Mergers & Acquisitions
- Mining Law
- Oil & Gas Regulation
- Outsourcing
- Patents
- Pharmaceutical Advertising
- Private Client
- Private Equity
- Product Liability
- Project Finance
- Public Investment Funds
- Public Procurement
- Real Estate
- Securitisation
- Shipping Law
- Telecoms, Media & Internet
- Trade Marks
- Vertical Agreements and Dominant Firms



59 Tanner Street, London SE1 3PL, United Kingdom
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720 / Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk

www.iclg.com