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Chapter 23

Acquisition Finance in Latin America: Navigating Diverse Legal Complexities in the Region

White & Case LLP

The continued demand by private equity and sophisticated strategic investors for loans with “certain funds” or “SunGard” limited conditionality to finance their M&A activity in Latin America warrants exploring the impact of certain legal complexities in the region on such acquisition finance structures. M&A activity in Latin America appears poised for growth, accompanied by other local trends that suggest increased opportunities for international lenders financing M&A activity in the region, presenting opportunities for investors and lenders. For any given acquisition finance transaction in Latin America, the parties will need to consider country-specific concerns, including guaranty limitations and security steps and timing, applicable withholding tax regimes and exchange control regulations, to determine the optimal structure and lender syndicate composition for such transaction.

M&A in Latin America

Latin American M&A in 2017 included 583 announced deals for a total of US$80.1 billion of value, which was an increase in value of 3.6%, although two fewer deals, in comparison to 2016, with many of the deals continuing to be driven by energy, mining and utilities. These deals also included an uptick of announced deals in the agricultural sector, including 18 deals valued at US$2 billion (an increase of four deals and 160.1% in value over 2016) and the leisure sector, including 26 announced deals valued at US$985 million (an increase of seven deals and US$584 million over 2016). The IntraLinks Deal Flow Predictor report predicts that the number of announced M&A deals in Latin America will increase in Q1 2018 by 3% year-on-year. And the International Monetary Fund is predicting that the GDP in Latin America will grow in 2018 by 1.9%, with country-specific variations (Argentina: 2.5%; Brazil: 1.5%; Chile: 2.5%; and Mexico 1.9%) and 3.5% growth projected in Colombia over the medium-term.

Opportunities for Increased International Lender Activity in Latin America

Additional country-specific factors also suggest that in the near- and medium-term there will be increased M&A activity and increased opportunities for international lenders in financing M&A activity in Latin America.

In Argentina, the federal government’s launch of a huge infrastructure plan coupled with the lift of the legal and de facto foreign exchange restrictions applicable to cross-border financings seem poised to increase M&A in the country and the opportunities for off-shore lenders to finance that activity.

In Brazil, although financing for acquisitions has traditionally been provided by local banks and local debentures with much support also being provided at below market levels by the National Development Bank (“BNDES”), BNDES’ recent retreat from activity may create additional opportunities for off-shore banks. In this regard, BNDES has been gradually changing its credit portfolio over the past few years from a concentrated portfolio formed by large corporations (known as “Super Nationals”) to a more diverse base, including venture capital and seed funds focused on start-ups and small-sized companies. In the near future, also, BNDESPar, the equity investment vehicle of BNDES, will play an important role in M&A activity in Brazil, either by divesting major equity stakes in large corporations or by investing in such small-sized companies mentioned above. Brazilian corporates with US-dollar revenues, including, in particular, exporters of commodities, are the most likely borrowers of US-dollar off-shore debt, given that currency hedging costs may be prohibitive, but market participants continue to explore structures to minimise currency risk for Brazilian borrowers without significant US-dollar revenues.

In Chile, the election of centre-right President Sebastián Piñera in December 2017 suggests even further increased opportunity for additional M&A activity in Chile, which closed 2017 with a record of 109 transactions.

In Colombia, the presidential elections in 2018 may lead to some slowdown in M&A activity during the year, but activity should remain in some sectors. Indeed, given the huge wave of road concessions together with a high sponsor concentration, it is expected that international investors will purchase stakes in these projects, which may require acquisition financing, depending on the stage of development of the relevant project. Additionally, multi-latinas continue to expand from and into Colombia and into the countries of the Pacific Alliance (Chile, Perú and Mexico), which acquisitions typically rely on financings from both the international and the local banking markets.

In Mexico, although political uncertainty, currency volatility and instability in the country’s relationship with the US may signal general uncertainty for dealmaking within Mexico, Mexican companies have begun pursuing overseas transactions to secure investment in a more favourable climate, which will present opportunities. Investors will also continue to closely watch how President Donald Trump’s policies toward Mexico unfold over the coming months, particularly his stance on the 23-year-old NAFTA agreement.

In Peru, financing for acquisitions by foreign investors has traditionally been provided by off-shore lenders or affiliated companies. Local investors traditionally have preferred loans from local banks, including bridge loan to bond take-out structures. Recently, local investors with Peruvian
bank relationships have access to medium- and long-term acquisition finance structures through local banks and local investment funds, including mezzanine funds, are providing some acquisition financing to local investors. There have been discussions in political circles recently about eliminating the 18% VAT applicable to interest payments to foreign lenders that are not financial institutions, which would facilitate loans by such off-shore lenders to Peruvian investors, but the legislative change has not yet passed.

**Latin American Acquisition Finance Transactions**

Pure leveraged, limited-recourse acquisition loan finance transactions occur in Latin America less frequently than in the US or Europe. This is partly because the volumes of M&A activity in the region (US $100 billion in 2017) are still a fraction of US M&A activity (US $1.5 trillion in 2017) and European M&A activity (US $850 billion in 2017). Country and currency risks specific to the region have also added to lenders’ perceived risks of such limited-recourse loans. In addition, non-financial institution lenders, which are traditionally the lenders interested in providing term loan B’s, a preferred source of debt financing by private equity funds, have been relatively inactive in the region due to unfavourable local withholding taxes, which are often applicable in the region to offshore lenders that are not financial institutions. And local banks have often been able to provide competitive pricing in this environment.

In our experience, international lenders have been more active in providing acquisition finance bridge loans in Latin America, which often take the form of bridge-bond take-out structures, and are frequently tied to M&A advisory mandates or other larger client relationships. Also, we have seen that Asian and European banks that are active in the medium- and long-term project finance markets have been active in leveraged acquisition financing – with project-finance-style debt-sizing parameters – of single-asset or portfolio power or infrastructure deals supported by US-dollar linked, long-term commercial contracts. Often, and in line with the business drivers of the international banks, we have seen that acquisition finance in the region has tended to be backed in whole or in part by corporate balance sheets, with customised, non-all-assets collateral packages when leverage exceeds 3.5 to 4.5 times EBITDA.

As private equity funds and other buyers seek loan financing for their targets in Latin America, cross-border acquisition loans will remain for the foreseeable future an important, if not critical, part of the capital toolbox. A buyer will generally require certainty of loan funds before committing to a purchase agreement, whether the acquisition loan financing takes the form of a bridge loan or longer-term financing, whether the target is a corporate or project, and whether the buyer is a strategic corporate or a private equity fund. The increasing volumes of M&A activity in the region, including in the energy, natural resources and infrastructure sectors in particular, would appear to be a promising source of highly bankable senior acquisition loans, with or without capital market take-outs.

**Loans vs. Bonds**

Loans tend to take centre stage in acquisition finance transactions because a loan commitment provides the needed certainty that debt funds will be available at closing. Typically, a purchase agreement for an acquisition will not include a “financing-out”, i.e., a right to terminate the purchase agreement if the buyer cannot finance the transaction, and before signing the purchase agreement the buyer will need certainty that the required debt will be available at closing.

**Loan Commitment Documentation in the UK, Europe and the US**

**Conditionality**

Because a purchase agreement for an acquisition will rarely contain a “financing-out”, a buyer will want to ensure that its lenders have provided a loan commitment with limited conditionality before signing the acquisition agreement. In recent years, the conditionality of lenders’ loan commitments in the acquisition finance context generally follows the “certain funds” standard in the UK and the European markets and the “SunGard” standard in the US. Under “certain funds” conditionality, the lenders’ commitment to fund on the closing debt are subject only to: (i) the making of certain key representations; (ii) the absence of major events of default (including insolvency proceedings or payment defaults of the acquisition vehicle); (iii) the absence of illegality; (iv) the absence of a change of control; and (v) security being granted over certain assets of the acquisition vehicle, including the shares of the target being acquired.

In contrast, the “SunGard” standard of conditionality limits the conditions such that: (a) the only representations that must be true and correct as a condition to funding are the specified loan agreement representations (limited largely to representations relating to corporate existence, power and authority, margin regulations, solvency and anti-terrorism and corruption laws) and the specified acquisition agreement representations (limited to representations and warranties in the purchase agreement relating to the target that, if untrue, would be material to the lenders and with respect to which the buyer can terminate its obligation to close the acquisition); (b) the collateral in which a security interest must be granted and perfected at closing includes only collateral that may be perfected by the filing of a UCC-1 financing statement or the delivery of possessory collateral such as share certificates; and (c) the only material adverse change or material adverse event (“MAC”) that is a condition to funding is the MAC® that applies in the purchase agreement – to eliminate any potential daylight between the loan commitment and the purchase obligation.

**Security Principles**

Lenders in the UK, the European markets and the US markets also include in the commitment documentation an agreed description of the guaranty and security principles that will apply to complete the credit support package after closing. In the UK and the European markets, the guaranty and collateral package will vary considerably depending on the applicable jurisdictions involved, given wide variance in applicable guaranty limitations and security interest legal regimes in the region. In the US, the parties often agree to limit the collateral and guaranty package, such that no security interest is required to be provided in real property valued below a certain threshold, leased real property, motor vehicles, margin stock, interests in joint ventures (and possibly non-wholly owned subsidiaries) and other immaterial assets.
Commitment Documents in Latin America

The Latin American loan market generally follows the US loan market approach to loan documentation, rather than the UK or European approach, including with respect to commitment documentation.

Closing Date Collateral and Security Principles

Similarly, commitment documents with respect to acquisition finance transactions involving Latin American targets tend to follow the US “SunGard” standard of conditionality and US guaranty and security principles framework in the acquisition context. There are several challenges in using the “SunGard” standard of conditionality in Latin America, however, that require the description of closing date collateral in the conditions to closing and the security principles to be revisited.

In general, most Latin American jurisdictions do not have a construct to permit all asset security under a single document or to permit perfection by a single filing in a central filing system of varied security interests. Ordinarily, each category of collateral will require a separate security document and separate perfection steps. Notarisation and registration requirements (which require a registry to register collateral a number of days or weeks after filing, in particular for real estate) and fees may further complicate the process and make the taking of security more expensive and protracted, or outright prohibitive from a commercial standpoint.

If the acquisition target is located in Latin America, it will be important to understand, in each relevant jurisdiction (including each specific country and, sometimes, each applicable state within such country), what the target’s valuable assets are given the nature of its business, the steps and timing (and related fees required) to create and perfect a security interest in each applicable category of such assets, and whether there are financial assistance (restrictions on the ability of a company to provide a guaranty in support of, or collateral to secure, indebtedness incurred to finance the purchase of that company) or other limitations on the ability of companies organised in that country to provide guarantees or credit support in the acquisition finance context. Care must be taken to formulate a closing date collateral package that will both ensure that the lenders have a security interest in the important assets of the target and ensure that perfection can be achieved on the closing date without execution risk and to frame the security principles and ongoing collateral package to protect the lenders’ interest while managing transaction timing and expense. In contrast to the US market, there is no “standard” guaranty and collateral package for acquisition loans in Latin America. Such packages tend to vary from country to country and from industry to industry within each country depending on the requirements to create and perfect security interest in the assets key for that industry.

We have endeavoured here to provide an overview of considerations in several of the jurisdictions in which M&A activity and acquisition finance transactions have been active.

In Argentina, so long as a guaranty provides arm’s-length benefit to the Argentine guarantor and the required corporate formalities are complied with, the guaranty will be enforceable, subject to potential avoidance, depending on the circumstances, if the guarantor enters into insolvency proceedings within two years of the guarantee being granted in the case of new debt. Also, the Argentine courts have held that some transactions in which a company has provided financial assistance to, or a guarantee for, the acquisition of its shares have violated the Argentine Commercial Companies Law (“ACC”), by violating the administrator’s duties of loyalty and care and the restriction on companies giving financial assistance or providing guarantee in connection with the acquisition of their own shares. It is not possible under Argentine law for a company to grant a blanket security interest in all of its personal property assets and security will need to be provided under separate documentation for each category of collateral. Also, it is a challenge to obtain a perfected security interest in a bank account, which may require the construction of a trust and additional time and expense. Notary fees, stamp taxes and registration fees can be material in connection with secured transactions and will vary depending on the type of assets pledged and the location of the pledgor and its assets. Registration of some security interests may take between one and several months. Also, the concept of collateral agency is not recognised under Argentine law, so each of the lenders will need to directly be a party to the local security documents and intercreditor arrangements affecting local collateral. A bill is currently being discussed in the Argentine congress that, if adopted, would recognise the concept of collateral agency.

In Brazil, there is no requirement that a Brazilian guarantor receive corporate benefit provided that the required corporate formalities are complied with and, provided further, that a guarantee without sufficient corporate benefit may be avoided in an insolvency proceeding of the guarantor within two years of the guarantee being granted. It is not possible for a company to grant a blanket security interest in all of its personal property assets and security will need to be provided under separate documentation for each category of collateral. Fiduciary liens are the preferable security type for foreign creditors given the protection it brings in insolvency scenarios; although there has been a debate over the legality of fiduciary liens to the benefit of foreign creditors, in particular in connection with fiduciary liens on real estate due to certain restrictions on the ownership of real estate by foreign entities or individuals. Notary fees and registration fees can be material for the taking of security over real property and personal property pledges and will vary by the region where the registry is located. Registration of security can take up to one month, depending on the type of security interest being registered and the location of the registry. If the borrower has outstanding indebtedness with BNDES (subject to certain exceptions), the borrower will need a waiver from BNDES for additional indebtedness, which may take some time. Notably, recent changes to Brazilian law have enhanced the attractiveness of the Brazilian legal regime to international lenders. First, foreclosing on liens over shares of publicly traded companies and other financial assets (e.g., time deposits) has become quicker. Also, Brazil has recently adopted the so-called “Apostille Convention”, which should facilitate and expedite the recognition in Brazil of documents executed abroad, avoiding the need for the expensive and time-consuming “consularisation” procedure.

In Chile, so long as the guaranty provides some benefit to the Chilean guarantor and the required corporate formalities are complied with, the guaranty will be enforceable, subject to potential avoidance, depending on the circumstances, if the guarantor enters into insolvency proceedings within two years of the guarantee being granted in the case of new debt. Security should be created under separate documentation for different types of assets (under different categories of pledge depending on who will have possession of the pledged asset and the type of asset). Notary fees and registration fees may apply for the taking of security over real property and personal property pledges, and registration fees will depend on the applicable registry. There are also significant limitations on the effectiveness of security interests over bank accounts, which, in practice, render such security unavailable, and Chilean law does not provide for the existence of collateral trusts.
In Colombia, per a recent doctrine of the Superintendence of Corporations, a parent company may guarantee the obligations of its subsidiaries, even if the corporate purpose of the guarantor does not include such power. This doctrine should be applicable even when the target is the guaranteed company, provided that the entirety of the financing is destined to pay its purchase price. In all other cases (i.e. when a subsidiary is guaranteeing the obligations of its parent company or a sister company) so long as the Colombian guarantor’s corporate purpose provides such company the power to guarantee the applicable obligations, the guaranty will be enforceable as a general principle (subject to certain exceptions including, for example, if the guarantor is a simplified stock corporation (sociedad por acciones simplificada), or if there is a declared entrepreneurial group between the guarantor and the guaranteed entity). There is generally no prohibition on a Colombian company providing financial assistance to support the acquisition of all of its own shares, except in the case of certain specially regulated companies such as banks, insurance companies and other finance companies. However, if the target is to guarantee a partial acquisition of its own shares, minority shareholder protection rules could apply and grant minority shareholders the right to challenge the guarantee provided by the target. Security should be granted under separate documentation for different types of assets. Alternatively, a prenda sobre establecimiento de comercio is available in some cases to cover groups of assets, as are security trust structures. There will be notarial fees and public registry costs depending on the type of security at issue.

In Mexico, so long as the required corporate formalities are complied with, a guaranty will be enforceable, regardless of the value provided to the guarantor, subject in any insolvency to potential clawback within 270 days of the filing for the insolvency proceedings. In Mexico, a non-possessory pledge on assets and rights may generally cover all assets, except real estate, which may need a separate document and filing. As an alternative, a Mexican collateral trust structure could be used to create a security trust structure covering a substantial number of assets, but the trustee costs are significant and administration of the collateral in the trust could become onerous for the borrower. Notary fees and registration fees may apply for the taking of security over real property and personal property pledges, and registration fees will depend on the applicable registry.

In Peru, so long as the required corporate requirements and formalities are complied with, a guaranty will be enforceable, regardless of the value provided to the guarantor, subject to potential actions against the officers and board members of the company under certain circumstances, including if the guarantees create a serious risk to the credits held by other creditors. Peru also restricts the ability of a company to provide financial assistance to a party to acquire its shares, although there may be structuring alternatives to reduce the impact of these Peruvian restrictions. Peru, in contrast to many other Latin American jurisdictions, does permit a blanket security interest under a Hipoteca sobre Unidad de Producción, under the applicable rules provided by Peruvian Civil Code, which covers a whole production unit including different types of assets (equipment, machinery, real estate, inventory and spare parts). As an alternative, lenders and holders of debt instruments may rely on the Peruvian guarantee trust structure (Fideicomiso en Garantía), governed by the banking law (Ley del Sistema Financiero y del Sistema de Seguros y Orgánica de la Superintendencia de Banca y Seguros) and the regulations issued by the banking regulator (the Superintendencia de Banca, Seguros y AFP), as well as on the securitisation trust (Fideicomiso de Titulización), regulated by the capital markets law (Ley del Mercado de Valores) and the regulations issued by the securities market regulator (Superintendencia del Mercado de Valores). The Fideicomiso en Garantía could be used to create a security trust structure covering a substantial number of assets, including future cash flows, with expedited enforcement proceedings and other benefits. The Fideicomiso de Titulización is a trust structure that also may cover different types of assets, including the future cash flows, aimed to guarantee the offering and issuance of debt instruments in the local and/or the international markets. There have been issuances of securitisation notes (Bonos de Titulización) that have been structured to finance future acquisitions by local investors, who act as originators of the respective Fideicomiso de Titulización. Notarial fees will be required to formalise the security agreements as public deeds and the applicable fees will depend on the notary. There are also public registry costs.

### Other Latin American Structuring Considerations

The parties will need to consider, in particular, applicable withholding tax obligations and the agreements with respect to tax gross up by the borrower. In many Latin American jurisdictions, a significant withholding tax will apply to interest payments from Latin American corporate borrowers to off-shore lenders, particularly lenders that are not regulated financial institutions or lenders organised in certain locally designated “tax haven” jurisdictions.

For example, in Argentina, if a foreign Lender is a bank or financial institution under the supervision of the relevant central bank or equivalent authority of its jurisdiction and located in a “cooperative jurisdiction” or a jurisdiction that is party to an exchange of information treaty with Argentina, there will be an effective withholding tax rate of 15.05%; otherwise, the effective withholding tax rate is 35%. Also, double taxation treaties may set forth ceilings to the applicable rates. The borrower may also need to pay VAT at the rate of 21% or 10.5% depending on whether the lender is a financial institution and other factors. And there may also be applicable stamp taxes in connection with the execution of the loan agreement, promissory notes and other loan documents depending on where the applicable agreement is signed and/or causes effects, and the applicable industry.

In Brazil, payments of interest to non-residents are generally subject to a 15% withholding tax, which may be reduced in the case of an applicable double taxation treaty in effect between Brazil and the country in which the foreign investor is located or increased to 25% in the case of an investor located in a tax haven jurisdiction, according to a list issued by the Brazilian tax authorities.

In Chile, interest payments by a Chilean borrower to an off-shore lender will be subject to a 35% withholding tax; provided that a reduced 4% withholding tax rate will apply to interest paid to foreign banks or financial institutions, and there may be a reduced rate also if there is an applicable double taxation treaty. In addition, there may be applicable stamp taxes proportional to the principal of the loan or bonds in connection with the execution of the loan agreement or a bond issuance. In Colombia, interest payments to...
off-shore lenders will be subject to a withholding tax of 14% if the loan from off-shore lenders has a term equal to, or longer than, one year, or 33% if the term is less than one year, subject in each case to the potential application of double taxation treaties as applicable depending on whether the lender is a financial institution.

In Colombia, interest payments to off-shore lenders are generally subject to a 15% withholding tax rate, subject to a number of exceptions. For example, loans provided by lenders located in jurisdictions with which Colombia has a double taxation treaty generally benefit from a lower rate ranging from 0% to 5% depending on the country. However, if a lender is located in a tax haven jurisdiction, the applicable rate is increased to 35%.

In Mexico, interest payments to off-shore lenders are generally subject to a 4.9% withholding tax rate in the case of interest paid to certain financial institutions that are residents of a country that has entered into a tax treaty with Mexico, a 10% withholding tax rate in the case of interest paid to certain financial institutions that are not residents of a tax treaty partner of Mexico, a 15% withholding tax rate in the case of interest paid to reinsurance companies or interest derived from financial leases, a 21% withholding tax rate in the case of interest paid to sellers of machinery in connection with a sale on credit, a 35% withholding tax rate in the case of interest paid to other lenders and a 40% withholding tax rate in the case of interest paid to a related party located in a tax haven.

In Peru, interest paid to off-shore lenders will be generally subject to a 4.99% withholding tax policy (Impuesto a la Renta), provided that certain formalities are complied with regarding funding and the corporate purpose of the loan. However, if the off-shore lender is a related party of the borrower or the issuer of the applicable debt instrument or if the lender or the investor on the applicable debt instrument is an individual domiciled in a tax haven, the withholding tax rate is 30%. Interest on debt instruments issued by local issuers acquired by foreign companies, investment funds, trusts and other entities domiciled in a tax haven, or on loans provided by such entities, are subject to the 4.99% withholding tax rate, provided that the respective investor or lender, as the case may be, is not a related party to the local borrower or issuer. Early prepayment premiums may also be subject to such withholding tax.

In Peru, in addition to withholding taxes, VAT (Impuesto General a las Ventas) may also apply. Interest on loans granted by foreign banks and other regulated financial entities will not be subject to the Peruvian 18% VAT. If the loans are provided by an off-shore entity that is not a regulated financial entity, including a corporation, the applicable interest payments will be subject to such VAT. In the case of notes and other debt instruments that constitute valores mobiliarios, which requires that the issuance include 10 or more instruments, issued by public or private offering by local issuers, the interest paid to foreign investors, including those domiciled in tax havens, will not be subject to the VAT. In addition, the VAT will not be applicable to interest payable under local instruments that constitute títulos valores, which require that the public offering and placement be through the Lima Stock Exchange.

Foreign Exchange Controls

Similarly, foreign exchange controls may require specific structuring to comply with local requirements. Foreign exchange controls are various forms of controls imposed by a government on the purchase or sale of foreign currencies by residents or on the purchase or sale of local currency by non-residents.

In Argentina, the foreign exchange controls applicable to cross-border financings have recently been lifted, allowing disbursements to be made and kept abroad, and conversion from Argentine Pesos to US Dollars to repay or prepay financings before or after collateral enforcement.

In Brazil, remittances from Brazil to off-shore lenders will need to be registered in the Brazilian Central Bank’s system. Further authorisation by the Central Bank may be required for the conversion of such Brazilian currency-denominated amount into foreign currency and its remittance abroad upon the occurrence of certain macroeconomic events (i.e., disequilibrium in the balance of payments), but the Brazilian Central Bank has shown less strict foreign exchange enforcement over time, and there is no known precedent in which Central Bank authorisation has been required in the past decades. Although the repayment of a loan by a Brazilian company is not subject to the financial transactions tax, such tax may become payable on the closing of a foreign exchange transaction for the inflow of funds into Brazil on the granting of the loan, depending on the amortisation term of the principal; under current law such tax is payable at a 6% rate if the term of the loan is fewer than 180 days, and 0% if the term of the loan is equal to or greater than 180 days.

Chile has no applicable currency control issues, and the exchange rate under which Chilean pesos would be converted to US dollars, or vice versa, may be freely agreed upon between the parties, provided that, in extreme cases, the Central Bank may intervene to regulate such conversion rates.

In Colombia, the foreign exchange regulations require foreign lenders to have a Central Bank code and require notifying the Central Bank of disbursements on a loan with non-Colombian lenders and of remittance of funds abroad to repay a loan with non-Colombian lenders. Repayment of loans to foreign lenders also must be made through specified foreign exchange intermediaries or compensation accounts, which are off-shore bank accounts that are registered with the Central Bank and subject to specified reporting obligations, and set-off by the lenders will not be permitted.

In Mexico and Peru, there are no applicable currency controls.

Conclusion

Given the tremendous opportunities for Latin American M&A activity in the coming years and the important role of loan facilities in financing acquisitions, understanding the local laws applicable to acquisition financing transactions in Latin America will be critically important to market participants going forward.
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