

FERC

Meeting Agenda Summary

In this issue...

- Electric Items
 - Gas Items
 - Hydro Items
 - Certificate Items
-

21 December 2017

Authors: [Daniel A. Hagan](#), [Jane E. Rueger](#)

Below are brief summaries of the agenda items for the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's December 21, 2017 meeting, pursuant to the agenda as issued on December 14, 2017.

Electric

E-1 – Cyber Security Incident Reporting Reliability Standards (Docket Nos. RM18-2-000, AD17-9-000). On January 13, 2017, the Foundation for Resilient Societies filed a petition requesting that the Commission initiate a rulemaking to require an enhanced reliability standard to detect, report, mitigate and remove malware from the Bulk Power System, all as more fully explained in its petition. Agenda item E-1 may be an order establishing a rulemaking on cyber security incident reporting reliability standards.

E-2 – Fast-Start Pricing in Markets Operated by Regional Transmission Organizations and Independent System Operators (Docket No. RM17-3-000). On December 15, 2016, the Commission issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NOPR) proposing to revise its regulations to require that each regional transmission organization and independent system operator incorporate market rules that meet certain requirements when pricing fast-start resources. The NOPR stated that these reforms should lead to prices that more transparently reflect the marginal cost of serving load, which will reduce uplift costs and thereby improve price signals to support efficient investments. Agenda item E-2 may be an order on the NOPR.

E-3 – New York Independent System Operator, Inc. (Docket No. EL18-33-000). Agenda item E-3 may be an order establishing a new docket related to New York Independent System Operator, Inc.

E-4 – PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (Docket No. EL18-34-000). Agenda item E-4 may be an order establishing a new docket related to PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

E-5 – Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (Docket No. EL18-35-000). Agenda item E-5 may be an order establishing a new docket related to Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (SPP).

E-6 – Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (Docket No. ER18-208-000). On November 1, 2017, pursuant to section 205 of the Federal Power Act, SPP filed an unexecuted Agreement Establishing a Pseudo-Tie Electrical Interconnection Point among SPP, Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation as the Market Participant, and Entergy Arkansas, Inc. as the External Balancing Authority (Agreement). Agenda item E-6 may be an order on the unexecuted Agreement.

E-7 – Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (Docket Nos. ER17-2027-000 and ER17-2027-001). On June 30, 2017, SPP filed proposed revisions to its Open Access Transmission Tariff (Tariff) to update its Integrated Transmission Planning (ITP) process, which SPP uses to identify transmission solutions for long-term and short-term transmission needs. On September 21, 2017, the Commission issued a deficiency notice and requested SPP file additional information regarding the proposed Tariff revisions, and an explanation for why the proposed revisions would continue to comply with the Commission's Order No. 1000 requirements. On October 23, 2017, SPP submitted a compliance filing in response to the Commission's September 21 deficiency letter. Agenda item E-7 may be an order regarding SPP's proposed Tariff revisions.

E-8 – Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (Docket Nos. ER17-1741-000 and ER17-1741-001). On June 1, 2017, pursuant to section 205 of the Federal Power Act (FPA), SPP, on behalf of the Central Nebraska Public Power and Irrigation District (Central), submitted proposed revisions to update Central's stated Annual Transmission Revenue Requirement (ATRR). On July 27, 2017, the Commission issued a delegated letter order finding that preliminary analysis of the proposed ATRR revisions have not been shown to be just and reasonable and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory or preferential, or otherwise unlawful. The letter order further found that the proposed revisions raised issues of material fact that could not be resolved based on the existing record and instituted hearing and settlement judge procedures. On September 15, 2017, SPP submitted for filing a Stipulation and Offer of Settlement and on October 24, 2017, the Settlement Judge issued an order certifying the settlement and recommended it be approved by the Commission. Agenda item E-8 may be an order regarding Central's proposed ATRR and the related settlement.

E-9 – Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (Docket Nos. ER17-426-000 and ER17-426-002). On November 29, 2016, pursuant to section 205 of the FPA, SPP, on behalf of Denison Municipal Utilities (Denison), submitted proposed revisions to the SPP Tariff to add a formula rate template and related protocols to enable Denison to recover an annual transmission revenue requirement for Denison facilities that will be placed under SPP's functional control. On January 31, 2017, the Commission issued an order accepting the proposed SPP Tariff revisions for filing, subject to refund, to become effective on February 1, 2017, and instituting settlement and hearing procedures to resolve issues of material fact. Following settlement proceedings, SPP submitted for filing a Joint Offer of Settlement on September 19, 2017. On November 1, 2017, the Settlement Judge issued an order certifying the settlement and recommended it be approved by the Commission. Agenda item E-9 may be an order regarding the proposed SPP Tariff revisions and the related settlement.

E-10 – Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. (Docket No. ER17-2097-001). On July 14, 2017, pursuant to sections 205 of the FPA, Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. (MISO) submitted proposed revisions to its Open Access Transmission, Energy and Operating Reserve Markets Tariff (MISO Tariff) to establish Dynamic Narrow Constrained Areas (Dynamic NCAs). On September 6, 2017, the Commission issued a letter finding MISO's filing deficient and requesting MISO submit additional information regarding the proposed revisions. On October 6, 2017, MISO submitted information in response to the deficiency letter and amended its proposed revisions to the MISO Tariff. Agenda item E-10 may be an order regarding the proposed revisions to the MISO Tariff to establish Dynamic NCAs.

E-11 – Chehalis Power Generating, L.P. (Docket No. ER05-1056-010). This proceeding concerns a proposed rate schedule filed in 2005 by Chehalis Power Generating, L.P. (Chehalis) setting forth the rates for reactive power service provided to Bonneville Power Administration (BPA). FERC initially found the proposed rate schedule to be unjust and unreasonable and directed Chehalis to refund to BPA excess revenues collected under the rate schedule. In subsequent proceedings, although the Commission found that the rate schedule was a "changed rate" rather an "initial rate" and thus subject to refund authority under FPA Section 205, because Commission precedent on the issue was unclear, the Commission determined it would be appropriate for Chehalis to recover the refunds already paid to BPA. Despite this finding, however, FERC found it lacked authority under the FPA to order BPA to make the refund payments because BPA, as a governmental entity, is a

nonjurisdictional utility and therefore not subject to the Commission's FPA Section 205 refund authority. Chehalis appealed to the US Court of Appeals for the DC Circuit (DC Circuit). On May 19, 2017, the DC Circuit ruled that the Commission erred in this regard, concluding that FERC has authority to authorize Chehalis to "recoup" money it already paid to BPA as a result of the Commission's own earlier error ordering Chehalis to make refund payments to BPA. The DC Circuit reversed and remanded the case for the Commission to determine the appropriate amount of recoupment payments owed to Chehalis. Agenda item E-11 may be an order regarding to the DC Circuit's decision remanding the proceeding.

E-12 – Northern Maine Independent System Administrator, Inc. (Docket No. ER17-192-002). On October 27, 2016, Northern Maine Independent System Administrator, Inc. (NMISA) submitted proposed amendments to its Open Access Transmission Tariff Market Rule 10 (NMISA Tariff) concerning participation of eligible unforced capacity resources. On December 22, 2016, the Commission issued an order accepting and suspending the proposed revisions to the NMISA Tariff, and instituted settlement and hearing judge proceedings. On April 11, 2017, NMISA filed an Offer of Settlement and on May 25, 2017, the Settlement Judge issued an order certifying the settlement as uncontested and recommended it be approved by the Commission. However, a non-intervenor, Merlin One, LLC (Merlin One), filed comments in opposition to the settlement. By order dated July 12, 2017, the Commission approved the settlement and determined that because Merlin One had not intervened in the proceeding, it was not a "party" and thus not a "participant" for purposes of contesting the settlement, as those terms are defined under the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. On August 9, 2017, Merlin One filed a request for rehearing of the Commission's July 12 order. Agenda item E-12 may be an order regarding the request for rehearing.

E-13 – Arizona Public Service Company (Docket Nos. EL17-51-000; ER17-912-000). On January 31, 2017, Arizona Public Service Company (APS) submitted, pursuant to section 205 of the Federal Power Act, proposed revisions to Attachment H-1 of its Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) to reduce the Post-Employment Benefits Other than Pension (PBOP) expense used in calculating the charges for transmission service under APS' formula rate, and to correct an inadvertent omission in APS' formula rate template. On February 21, 2017, Navopache Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Navopache) submitted comments, including a protest challenging APS' filing on various grounds. On March 28, 2017, the Director, Division of Electric Power Regulation–West, pursuant to delegated authority, issued a letter order accepting and suspending the proposed revisions, finding that APS' proposed revisions had not been shown to be just and reasonable and raised issues of material fact that could not be resolved based on the existing record. Further, the letter order established hearing and settlement judge procedures pursuant to the Commission's authority under section 206 of the Federal Power Act and the parties reached a settlement in principle in July 2017. On September 26, 2017, APS submitted a Joint Stipulation and Offer of Settlement. On October 16, 2017, Commission Trial Staff submitted comments not opposing the Offer of Settlement. Agenda item E-13 may be an order approving the Offer of Settlement.

E-14 – Talen Energy Marketing, LLC, et. al. (Docket No. EL16-116-000, et. al.). On July 15, 2016 and August 17, 2016, Talen Energy Marketing, LLC (Talen), Bayonne Plant Holding, L.L.C., Camden Plant Holding, L.L.C., Elmwood Park Power, LLC, Newark Bay Cogeneration Partnership, L.P., Lower Mount Bethel Energy, LLC, York Generation Company LLC, Pedricktown Cogeneration Company LP, H.A. Wagner LLC, and Brandon Shores LLC (collectively the Talen Entities) submitted informational filings, pursuant to Schedule 2 to the PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. Open Access Transmission Tariff, in connection with the expected transfer of additional ownership interests in Talen Energy Corporation from PPL Corporation to Raven Power Holdings LLC, C/R Energy Jade, LLC, and Sapphire Power Holdings LLC. On September 27, 2016, the Commission accepted the informational filings, instituted a proceeding under section 206 of the Federal Power Act regarding the continued justness and reasonableness of the reactive power rates, and established hearing and settlement judge procedures. The settlement conferences, held between November 2016 and July 2017, culminated in an August 31, 2017 Offer of Settlement. Agenda item E-14 may be an order approving the Offer of Settlement.

Gas

G-1 – Enterprise Products Operating LLC and Tenaska Marketing Ventures (Docket No. RP18-183-000). On November 22, 2017, Enterprise Products Operating LLC (EPO) and Tenaska Marketing Ventures (Tenaska) submitted, pursuant to section 4 of the Natural Gas Act, a joint petition requesting a temporary ninety (90) day

waiver of the Commission's capacity release regulations and policies, related tariff provisions of Northern Border Pipeline Company, and any other authorizations or waivers necessary to permanently transfer EPO's jurisdictional transportation capacity and associated gas purchase obligations. The requested waivers are intended to permit EPO to enter into a Purchase and Sale Agreement, with Tenaska, thus facilitating the exit from its natural gas marketing operations in the Midwest by the expected closing of the January 1, 2018 transaction. Agenda item G-1 may be an order approving the requested waivers.

G-2 – Gulf South Pipeline Company, LP (Docket No. RP17-851-000). On June 28, 2017, Gulf South Pipeline Company, LP (Gulf South) submitted, pursuant to section 4 of the Natural Gas Act, proposed revisions to the Requests for Service provisions in section 6.8 of the General Terms and Conditions (GT&C) of its tariff. The proposed revisions would purportedly exempt shippers that are directly connected to Gulf South and that are taking service from a third-party shipper from the Commission's 90-day request-for-service rule should they seek to obtain service directly from Gulf South. Atmos Energy Corporation and Indicated Shippers submitted protests. On July 27, 2017, the Director, Division of Pipeline Regulation, Office of Energy Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority, issued a letter order accepting and suspending the proposed revisions, finding that Gulf South's proposed revisions had not been shown to be just and reasonable. The proposed revisions were accepted subject to refund and further Commission order. On December 12, 2017, the Indicated Shippers filed a motion requesting Commission action on the proposed revisions prior to the end of the suspension period, January 1, 2018. Agenda item G-2 may be an order addressing the proposed tariff revisions.

G-3 – Midcontinent Express Pipeline (Docket No. RP17-848-000). On June 28, 2017, Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC (MEP) submitted, pursuant to section 4 of the Natural Gas Act, proposed revisions that purportedly make miscellaneous updates, clarifications, and error corrections to various sections of its currently effective tariff, and to reflect the July 31, 2017 expiration of Newfield Exploration Mid-Continent contract 553403. Indicated Shippers protested the filing on various grounds. On July 31, 2017, the Director, Division of Pipeline Regulation, Office of Energy Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority, issued a letter order accepting and suspending the proposed revisions, finding that MEP's proposed revisions had not been shown to be just and reasonable. The proposed revisions were accepted subject to refund and further Commission order. Agenda item G-3 may be an order addressing the proposed tariff revisions.

G-4 – Bay Gas Storage Company, Ltd. (Docket No. PR17-28-000). On February 27, 2017, Bay Gas Storage Company, Ltd. (Bay Gas) submitted, pursuant to section 12.2.4 of its Statement of Operating Conditions, a proposed annual adjustment of its Company Use Percentage. The Company Use Percentage recovers, on an in-kind basis, costs attributable to lost and unaccounted for quantities of gas. Bay Gas proposes to change its Company Use Percentage from negative 0.03% to 0.00%. Florida City Gas and NJR Energy Services Company submitted motions to intervene in the proceeding. No protests were filed. Agenda item G-4 may be an order accepting the proposed revisions to Bay Gas' Company Use Percentage.

G-5 – Discovery Gas Transmission LLC (Docket No. RP17-806-000). On May 31, 2017, Discovery Gas Transmission LLC (Discovery) filed its Fuel, Lost and Unaccounted For Gas Retention Rate Report. As stated in the filing, Discovery did not propose to revise the currently effective FL&U Retention Rate of 0.0%. Prior to this filing, Discovery stated that it experienced a net gain from system operations for a one-year period commencing in July 2015, reflected by a 0.3% retention rate; Discovery affirmed in this filing that pursuant to Commission orders, Discovery will retain this net gain. A number of contracted shippers filed motions to intervene, and collectively (as the Indicated Shippers) filed a Request for Clarification on June 12, 2017. The Indicated Shippers aim to resolve the net gain by Discovery and the manner in which it will be credited to shippers, as the Indicated Shippers indicate it is technically an over-recovered amount. On June 23, 2017, Discovery submitted an Answer to the Request by the Indicated Shippers, furnishing clarifying information that the over-recovered amount will be credited back to the shippers when Discovery experiences a net loss in a calendar year. Agenda item G-5 may be an order on the Request for Clarification by the Indicated Shippers.

G-6 – Northern Natural Gas Company (Docket No. RP17-990-000). On August 30, 2017, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern) filed a petition to the Commission in order to request a limited waiver of its Gas Tariff on file. The purpose of the requested waiver is to allow Big Ox Energy–Siouxland, LLC to resolve an imbalance by using the imbalance-to-storage mechanism outside of the timelines set forth in the Tariff. Agenda item G-6 may be an order on Northern's petition for a limited waiver.

G-7 – Energy Corporation of America and Greylock Production, LLC (Docket No. RP18-167-000). On November 16, 2017, Energy Corporation of America (ECA) and Greylock Production, LLC (Greylock, and collectively, the Applicants) filed a request for temporary waiver of capacity release regulations and related transportation policies in order to facilitate Greylock’s acquisition of ECA. The transaction between the two filing parties involves Greylock’s assumption of ECA’s natural gas exploration and production business, as well as purchase and sales agreements for the attendant unconventional gas production. ECA filed supplemental information pertaining to the transaction on November 24, 2017. Agenda item G-7 may be an order on the Applicants’ request for temporary waiver.

G-8 – Grieve Pipeline, LLC (Docket No. OR17-21-000). On August 11, 2017, Grieve Pipeline, LLC (Grieve) filed a request for declaratory order approving the open season procedures, rate structure, and key contract and tariff terms of the Grieve pipeline project. In particular, Grieve is seeking assurances that each component is consistent with Commission policy and lawful pursuant to the Interstate Commerce Act. Agenda item G-8 may be an order on Grieve’s request for declaratory order.

Hydro

H-1 – Annual Charges for Use of Government Lands in Alaska (Docket No. RM16-19-000). On June 6, 2016, various hydroelectric licensees petitioned FERC to conduct a rulemaking to revise the methodology for calculating federal land use annual charges for hydroelectric projects located in certain areas of Alaska. On November 17, 2016, FERC issued a Notice of Inquiry regarding the methodology, and on August 17, 2017, FERC issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. FERC proposes to calculate a statewide average per-acre land value, rather than a regional per-acre land value, to calculate annual charges for use of federal lands for all hydropower projects in Alaska, except those located in the Aleutian Islands Area. Various parties submitted comments. Agenda item H-1 may be a Final Rule on the methodology used to calculate federal land use charges.

H-2 – Woodland Pulp LLC (Docket No. P-2660-029). On July 27, 2017, Woodland Pulp LLC (“Woodland Pulp”) requested that FERC issue a declaratory order stating that if Woodland Pulp transfers ownership of a portion of the Forest City Project to the State of Maine, Maine’s Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife will not require a license from FERC to operate and maintain the Forest City Dam. Various parties commented on Woodland Pulp’s request, noting that Woodland Pulp’s surrender of the Forest City Dam license and potential changes to the operation of the dam raise various environmental concerns. Agenda item H-2 may be an order addressing Woodland Pulp’s request.

H-3 – GreenGenStorage LLC (Docket No. P-14796-000). On July 19, 2016, GreenGenStorage LLC (“GreenGen”) filed an application for a preliminary permit to study the feasibility of the proposed Mokelumne Pumped Storage Project (“Project”). Various parties have protested the application, including Pacific Gas & Electric Company (“PG&E”), which stated that the GreenGen’s Project would utilize PG&E’s existing Mokelumne Project works, and that the primary transmission line of GreenGen’s Project would interfere with PG&E’s ability to properly operate the Mokelumne Project. Agenda item H-3 may be a final order in the proceeding.

H-4 – Advanced Hydropower, Inc. (Docket No. P-14837-001). On January 24, 2017, Advanced Hydropower, Inc. (“Advanced Hydropower”) applied for a preliminary permit to study the feasibility of the proposed McNary Advanced Hydropower Project No. 14837, which would be located at the US Army Corps of Engineers’ (“Corps”) McNary Lock and Dam. On September 7, 2017, FERC denied the preliminary permit application due to the Corps’ position that the proposed non-federal development would interfere with the federal purposes of the McNary Dam. On October 10, 2017, Advanced Hydropower requested a rehearing of FERC’s September 7 decision, and on November 7, 2017, FERC issued an order granting rehearing for further consideration. Agenda item H-4 may be a final order in the proceeding.

H-5 – Loup River Public Power District (Docket No. P-1256-032). On April 16, 2012, Loup River Public Power District (“Loup Power District”) applied for a new license to continue operation of the Loup River Hydroelectric Project No. 1256 (“Project”). On June 16, 2017, Loup Power District requested rehearing and modification of FERC’s May 22 order due to certain terms and conditions of the order that require changes in Project flows/diversions and use of available water and certain environmental conditions. On July 17, 2017, FERC issued an order granting rehearing for further consideration. Agenda item H-5 may be a final order in the proceeding.

H-6 – Idaho Power Company (Docket No. P-1971-122). On November 23, 2016, Idaho Power Company (“Idaho Power”) filed a petition for a declaratory order finding that under the Supremacy Clause, Oregon’s fish passage requirements with respect to Idaho Power’s Hells Canyon Project are preempted by Part 1 of the Federal Power Act. On January 19, 2017, FERC dismissed Idaho Power’s petition. On February 17, 2017, Idaho Power requested a rehearing of FERC’s January 19 order regarding the order’s dismissal of Idaho Power’s petition, and clarification that certain of FERC’s discussion in the order was dicta. On March 13, 2017, FERC issued an order granting rehearing for further consideration. Agenda item H-6 may be a final order in the proceeding.

Certificates

C-1 – Town of Walnut, Mississippi (Docket No. CP16-33-000). On December 11, 2015, the Town of Walnut, Mississippi (Walnut) submitted an Abbreviated Application for Service Area Determination in order to expand its natural gas distribution facilities (by the Commission ascribing a designation of Walnut as a local distribution company). Several parties filed motions to intervene and protests; Walnut filed an Answer to one Protest on January 22, 2016. On February 23, 2016, the Commission issued the Environmental Assessment Report for the application, determining that the environment would not be affected in any manner. Marsha Blackburn, a US Representative from Tennessee, filed a letter to the Commission supporting one of the intervening parties (the City of Middleton, Tennessee) and requesting the substantive comments opposing this proceeding be granted full consideration. On July 21, 2016, the Commission requested additional information from Walnut; following its responses on July 29, 2016 on August 8, 2016, Walnut also filed a response letter to the City of Middleton on September 2, 2016. Agenda item C-1 may be an order on Walnut’s Abbreviated Application for Service Area Determination.

C-2 – Arlington Storage Company, LLC (Docket No. CP13-83-000). On February 26, 2013, Arlington Storage Company, LLC (Arlington) submitted an Abbreviated Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) Authorizing Storage Capacity Expansion, for Reaffirmation of Market-Based Rate Authorization and Request for Expedited Treatment. The authorization furnished by the Commission would allow Arlington to complete construction of the Gallery 2 Expansion Project—through the Seneca Lake Project located in New York state—and the provision of natural gas storage services in interstate commerce. A substantial number of comments and interventions were filed on behalf of stakeholders in New York, including Senator Chuck Schumer and the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. On April 15, 2013, the US Environmental Protection Agency filed a response to the Commission’s Notice to Intent to prepare the Environmental Assessment (EA) for the project, highlighting particular areas of emphasis required for the analysis. Arlington filed multiple responses to the voluminous comments in April of 2013. Following several supplemental data exchanges with Arlington, the Commission issued the EA for the project on September 13, 2013; the EA found that, with appropriate mitigating measures, the Gallery 2 Expansion Project would not constitute a major action affecting the environment. A substantial number of comments were filed following the issuance of the EA, and Arlington also furnished several additional letters to the Commission containing environmental data for the project. On May 15, 2014, the Commission issued an order granting the CPCN and reaffirming Arlington’s market-based rate authority. Arlington accepted the CPCN on June 13, 2014, filed an Implementation Plan for the project on August 12, 2014, and filed bi-weekly construction reports to the Commission shortly thereafter. A number of commenters continued to submit letters of opposition to the Commission—including both Senators from New York—and several requests for rehearing were denied by the Commission (in 2014, 2015, and 2017, respectively). Agenda item C-2 may be a final order on this proceeding.

C-3 – Algonquin Gas Transmission, LLC, Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline, L.L.C. (Docket Nos. CP16-9-003, CP16-9-005, CP16-9-006, CP16-9-007). On October 22, 2015, Algonquin Gas Transmission, LLC (Algonquin) and Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline, L.L.C. (Maritimes) submitted an Abbreviated Application for a CPCN and for Related Authorizations for the proposed Atlantic Bridge Project located in Massachusetts, New York, Rhode Island, and Connecticut. The project would enable Algonquin and Maritimes to provide firm transportation capacity of natural gas to its shippers. A substantial number of comments and interventions were filed during the subsequent period including prominent politicians and state and city agencies in the region of which the project would be sited. Algonquin and Maritimes submitted supplemental information pursuant to Commission data requests in December of 2015, and February, March, and April of 2016. The Commission issued the EA for the project on May 2, 2016; the EA found that, with appropriate mitigating measures, the proposed Atlantic Bridge

Project would not constitute a major action affecting the environment. Following the issuance of the EA, hundreds of intervenors submitted comments, to which Algonquin replied to on June 16, 2016. An intervener filed a motion to institute a stay on all construction activity associated with the project; the Commission issued an order denying the stay on August 16, 2016. On January 25, 2017, the Commission issued an order granting the CPCN and related authorizations subject to certain conditions. US Senators and many intervenors continued to contest the project, requiring Algonquin and Maritimes to file a request to proceed with certain facilities located in Connecticut on March 14, 2017, to which the Commission approved shortly thereafter on March 27, 2017, among others to follow. Algonquin proceeded to file construction updates to the Commission. Several sub-dockets were opened as a result of respective requests for rehearing filed by intervenors in the docket. Agenda item C-3 may be an order on the requests for rehearing in those aforementioned sub-dockets.

White & Case LLP
701 Thirteenth Street, NW
Washington, District of Columbia 20005-3807
United States

T +1 202 626 3600

In this publication, White & Case means the international legal practice comprising White & Case LLP, a New York State registered limited liability partnership, White & Case LLP, a limited liability partnership incorporated under English law and all other affiliated partnerships, companies and entities.

This publication is prepared for the general information of our clients and other interested persons. It is not, and does not attempt to be, comprehensive in nature. Due to the general nature of its content, it should not be regarded as legal advice.